

**Texas Project Delivery Framework
Master Change Request List**

As of 10/04/2013

Change Request ID	Long Description	Impacted Framework Version	Originator Agency	Originator Name	Impact Area	Impact Level	CAB Review Date	CAB Impact	CAB Priority	CAB Action	CAB Action Date	DIR Approval Date	DIR Action	Publication Date	Implemented Framework Version	
80	Hyperlinks to statutes in Quick Reference table.	2.7	Department of Information Resources	PJ Vilanilam	Framework Guidance (Handbook), Framework, Other – Web page: Quick Reference table	Minor	NA	NA	NA		NA	7/12/2013	Approve	8/31/2013	2.8	
79	DIR Internal Audit requirement: Add statutory references to Contract Amendment and Change Order Approval Instructions and Quick Reference table. Cite GC 2054.307 and GAA 82nd Legislature Section 9.01 (c) - this is to explain why and when the Contract Amendment and Change Order Approval are required.	2.7	Department of Information Resources	PJ Vilanilam	Framework Guidance (Handbook), Contract Amendment and Change Order Approval, Framework, Other – Web pages	Moderate	NA	NA	NA		NA	7/12/2013	Approve	8/31/2013	2.8	
78	Quick Reference table- Mark Project Plan Registers as Required if submitted to QAT.	2.7	Department of Information Resources	PJ Vilanilam	Framework Guidance (Handbook), Framework, Other – Web page: Quick Reference table	Moderate	NA	NA	NA		NA	7/12/2013	Approve	8/31/2013	2.8	
77	CAB Charter: Change meeting and publication schedule.	2.7	Department of Information Resources	PJ Vilanilam	Framework Guidance (Handbook), CAB Charter, Framework, Other – Web pages	Moderate	2/27/2013	Moderate	Medium	Recommend	2/27/2013	7/12/2013	Approve	8/31/2013	2.8	
76	Business Case Workbook: On the Evaluation Factors tab pre-populate rows FA1, FA2, FA 3 and FA4 with information on Financial Analysis tab rows RA5, RA7, RA8, VA4	2.8	DSHS	Sherri Conner	Business Case, Business Case Workbook	Moderate	9/25/2013	Moderate	Medium	Recommend	9/25/2013	9/25/2013	Approve	Pending	Pending	
75	Update Business Case Workbook cost tab to include one specific line item for completion of required Framework deliverables (completed during implementation). In the Cost Analysis tab, add the following row: Framework Deliverables	2.8	DSHS	Sherri Conner	Business Case Workbook, Business Case Instructions, Framework Guidance	Major	9/25/2013	Moderate	Medium	Defer	9/25/2013	9/25/2013	Approve	Pending	Pending	
74	Make changes to the Project Close-Out Report; Add table to capture planned, adjusted and approved, and actual start and end dates; same columns for budget. Also have a column for explanation of overall project variances. Add a section to describe what went	2.8	DSHS	Sherri Conner	Project Closeout, Framework Guidance	Moderate	9/25/2013	Moderate	Medium	Recommend	9/25/2013	9/25/2013	Approve	Pending	Pending	
73	Add Project Sponsor role to all template's signature blocks	2.8	DSHS	Sherri Conner	All template signature blocks.	Major	9/25/2013	Major	Low	Defer	9/25/2013	NA	Defer	NA	NA	
72	Provide standard signature block format on all templates. Recommend using format signature block as is currently used in the Business Case template. Allow cut and paste of entire signature block; then modify as needed.	2.8	DSHS	Sherri Conner	All signature pages that currently do not have a standard signature block.	Moderate	9/25/2013	Minor	Low	Defer	9/25/2013	NA	Defer	NA	NA	
71	Rename 'Framework Extensions' to 'Software and System Development Lifecycle Templates'. Perform a global find and replace on the webpages and within all other documents.	2.8	Department of Information Resources	PJ Vilanilam	All web pages and documents containing the words Framework Extensions.	Minor	9/25/2013	Minor	Low	Defer	9/25/2013	NA	Defer	NA	NA	
70	Fringe benefits were updated. CAB Modification: Add a version history worksheet to the Business Case Workbook.	2.7	Legislative Budget Board	Richard Corbell	Business Case	Major	2/27/2013	Major	High	Recommend	2/27/2013	2/11/2013; 2/27/2013	Approve	2/11/2013; 8/31/2013	2.8	
69	Business Case and Business Case Workbook checklists. CAB Modifications: Metrics on the quality of Business Case and Business Case Workbook submissions should be tracked to determine whether checklists improve quality of the submissions. DIR must consult with QAT about this change request prior to final approval. Also, checklists are not required to be submitted to QAT, therefore, adoption rates of the checklists cannot be determined with certainty unless agencies are polled.	2.6, 2.7	Department of Information Resources	Roslyn Hotard	Framework Guidance (Handbook), Business Case, Statewide Impact Analysis, Post-Implementation Review of Business Outcomes, Project Plan, Monitoring Report, Acquisition Plan, Contract Amendment and Change Order Approval	Major	9/18/2012; 2/27/2013	Major	High	Recommend with modifications	9/18/2012; 2/27/2013	9/18/2012; 5/31/2013	Approve; Defer	Modifications to track metrics	12/31/2012	2.7, 2.8
68	Modify templates to specify MM/DD/YY format for dates	2.6	Legislative Budget Board	Richard Corbell	Acquisition Plan, Review Gate Approval, Supplemental Tool - Risk Management Plan, Statewide Impact Analysis, Project Plan, Project Closeout, Project Charter, Post-Implementation Review of Business Outcomes, Monitoring Report, Business Case, Acceptance to Deploy, Supplemental Tool - Project Plan, Contract Amendment and Change Order Approval	Minor	7/10/2012	Minor	Medium	Recommend	7/10/2012	7/10/2012	Approve	12/31/2012	2.7	

Texas Project Delivery Framework Master Change Request List

As of 7/05/2012

67	Modify Monitoring Report template for ability to include Agency Personnel Services and Agency Personnel Fringe Benefits; CAB Additions: CAB requests a new change request be proposed that is comprehensive. New change request should indicate approach for including Agency Personnel Services and Agency Personnel Fringe Benefits costs throughout the Framework, not just the Monitoring Report.	2.6	Legislative Budget Board	Richard Corbell	Monitoring Report	Major	7/10/2012, 2/27/2013	Medium	Low	Rejected	7/10/2012, 2/27/2013	NA	Defer	NA	NA
66	Clarify guidance on deliverable signature requirements. Only original or digital signatures are allowed as an indication of deliverable approval. CAB Modification. There are three main updates to this Change Request: (1) Handbook language needs to be updated to reflect that scanned images of the wet-ink signatures of approvers will continue to be accepted by QAT. Alternatively, agencies may attach the approval emails from document reviewers to the main email sent to QAT. Agencies do not have to do both processes. (2) Guidance/citation on the auditability of the approval email process. (3) A hybrid process is also acceptable, whereby the agency head's wet-ink signature is scanned and attached as a pdf file and email approvals from the other reviewers are attached to the main email sent to QAT.	2.6, 2.7	Legislative Budget Board	Richard Corbel	Statewide Impact Analysis, Project Plan, Post-Implementation Review of Business Outcomes, Monitoring Report, Framework Guidance, Contract Amendment and Change Order Approval, Business Case, Acquisition Plan	Major	7/10/12, 2/27/2013	Major	High	Recommend	2/27/203	2/27/203	Approve	8/31/2013	2.8
65	Guiding Principle 5 indicates that a future release of the Framework will provide a cross-reference of content among the various Framework tools in order to demonstrate deliverable section linkage. The Framework was released in 2006 and this cross-reference has not been addressed.	2.6	Department of Information Resources	Roslyn Hotard	Framework Guidance, Framework Other - Web	Minor	2/15/2012							12/31/2012	2.7
64	With release of the PFLC Extension and the desire to promote a shared understanding among users and enhance adoption of this important PFLC extension, the need arose to create and add a glossary of terms identifying many of the financial and budget terms used in the document. An initial draft is submitted with this change request. A more refined draft reviewed by both TWC and DADs will be submitted in the next few weeks.	2.6	Department of Aging and Disability Services	Tom Jenkinson	Framework Other - PFLC Extension	Minor	2/15/2012	Minor	Medium	Recommend	2/15/2012			12/31/2012	2.7
63	The Framework should be amended to include a more detailed description of how continuous improvement (CPI) is achieved within the project lifecycle. CPI can be achieved via the adoption and/or the continued improvement of SDLC best practices within a project. Project managers should insure that their projects include objectives which foster the usage of SDLC best practices whenever possible. Executive management should take steps to encourage that any improvement in best practice usage is communicated and adopted across their organization. In addition, any successes/failures with the usage of the SDLC best practices should be shared, not just within a specific project, but across all similar projects. Sharing of this critical information will allow for the synergistic improvement of best practices across all state agencies. The attached document provides a solution as to how CPE could be achieved.	2.5	Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts	Richard Dachowski	Project Closeout, Post-Implementation Review of Business Outcomes, Framework Guidance, Review Gate Approval, Statewide Impact Analysis, Monitoring Report, Project Plan	Major	2/7/2011			Reject					
62	Project Plan submission files submitted to state-level entities often do not meet requirements specified in the Framework guidance. The desired outcome is to clarify Framework guidance and tool instructions to enhance the ability of agencies to meet requirements specified in the guidance, related to Project Plan submission files.	2.3	Department of Information Resources	Roslyn Hotard	Framework Guidance, Project Plan	Moderate	7/20/2010					5/10/2010	Approve		2.4
61	This change request is related to and enhances Change Request 54. Two Business Challenges. #1- Information provided by the Business Case Workbook may be an inaccurate representation of the project due to fields being misaligned, mislabeled, miscalculated or misleading. #2 - Agency staff using the Business Case Workbook to produce post-implementation Review of Business Outcomes as directed in the PIRBO instructions find many fields are not represented in both documents or they do not align. See attachment.	2.3	Texas Health and Human Services Commission	Teri Augustine	Framework Other - Web, Guidance, Statewide Impact Analysis, Business Case, Post-Implementation Review of Business Outcomes	Major	7/20/2010	Major	High	Recommend with modifications					2.6
60	The business problem is the Business Case Model and the Workbook Model provided on the DIR website uses an out of date version of the Workbook template. Consequently many of the examples do not align with currently published instructions and workbook template. The desired outcome is to have the Model Workbook updated to the latest version, and for this update to become part of the Framework update process so this Model Workbook is updated each time the Framework is updated. An attachment is included with this change request showing suggestions for alignment with the instructions.	2.2	Texas Health and Human Services Commission	Teri Augustine	Business Case, Framework Other - All	Major	2/3/2010	None		Withdrew On	2/3/2010	2/3/2010	Withdrew On	2/3/2010	
59	The business problem is the Business Case Model and the Workbook Model provided on the DIR website were compared to the current Business Case Instructions and twenty one items were found where the documentation does not align with the instructions. This is a problem because users will use this model and assume due to its location that it is usable example without significant error. (This is a different issue than the Model using an outdated version of the template addressed in a previous change request. The desired outcome is to have the Model Workbook updated and any issues corrected. An attachment is included with this change request showing suggestions for alignment with the instructions.	2.2	Texas Health and Human Services Commission	Teri Augustine	Framework Other - All, Business Case	Major	2/3/2010	None		Withdrew On	2/3/2010	2/3/2010	Withdrew On	2/3/2010	

Texas Project Delivery Framework Master Change Request List

As of 7/05/2012

58	The Business Case Workbook (BCW) has Evaluation Factors, which some of them may be non-monetary. Despite best efforts to develop quantitative measures of some project benefits, there are situations that simply do not lend themselves to quantify in dollars. Such as benefits as transparency value, improved overall public perception of government, improved quality of the environment, safety and security. Although the Business Case implies that in some cases the qualitative factors can provide the foundation for justifying the project, there is not clarification on how QAT includes the non-monetary factors into the project approval process. We propose to add additional evaluation factors to cover benefits such as transparency and improved public perception of government, and safety. We also recommend QAT provide greater information about the QAT project approval decision related to the weight of the non-monetary factors.	2.3	Department of Motor Vehicles	Theol Jackman	Business Case	Moderate	7/20/2010		Reject						
57	The current Business Case Workbook (BCW) does not provide the total project cost separately from the total project life cycle cost. Because the QAT Monitoring report the BCW lists to the end of the BCW estimated project cost must be reported. We propose to split the Cost Analysis worksheet in two different worksheets: implementation and post implementation costs. Also, the Other Costs section should split the implementation and post implementation operations costs on each respective worksheet. The current Cost-Benefit summary worksheet would summarize the previous two cost worksheets to calculate the project and product life cycle costs. Also, because the BCW is used as the basis for developing the ITD, greater information is needed about which BCW line categories, such as agency personnel, agency personnel fringe benefits, and contingency, must be included on the ITD report. To facilitate the comparison of these reports, we propose to move the BCW category lines that are non-common on both reports to the end of the BCW.	2.3	Department of Motor Vehicles	Theol Jackman	Business Case, Statewide Impact Analysis, Post-Implementation Review of Business Outcomes	Major	7/20/2010	Major	High	Recommend with modifications					2.6
56	The business problem is that the Acquisition Plan webpage, instructions, and template do not clearly and consistently state which types of acquisitions require use of the Plan. The desired outcome is that confusion over applicability of the Acquisition Plan is reduced.	2.2	Health and Human Services Commission	Sara Hill	Acquisition Plan, Framework Other - Web	Moderate	2/3/2010	Moderate	High	Recommend		5/31/2010	Approve		2.4, 2.5
55	The business problem is the lack of a unique identifying key for project tracking. The ITD number changes each biennium. Project documentation displays old ITD numbers on documents or the old ITD number is not displayed for tracking purposes. Desired outcome is to have modified Framework templates (Business Case and SIA) to include fields for historical ITD numbers. An alternative outcome would be modified instructions explaining the ITD number changes and describing a solution.	2.2	Texas Health and Human Services Commission	Teri Augustine	Statewide Impact Analysis, Business Case	Major	7/20/2010	Minor	Low	Recommend with modifications		11/23/2010	Approve		2.5
54	Project managers currently have to keep separate project records because they must maintain project cost information that is not calculated in the Business Case Workbook (Workbook). If the Workbook calculated the cost of a project from initiation through implementation separate from the cost of product maintenance and operations, it would ensure accurate communication with federal partners who help fund agency projects. Currently, both these figures are summed for ten years and misleadingly labeled Total Project Costs. In addition, as distinct project cost is not calculated in the Workbook, project managers must maintain separate financial records and then they only use the Workbook for reporting purposes. This creates redundant work and means the Workbook financial records are often obsolete.	2.2	Texas Health and Human Services Commission	Teri Augustine	Statewide Impact Analysis, Post-Implementation Review of Business Outcomes, Business Case	Moderate	7/20/2010	Major	High	Recommend with modifications				12/31/2012	2.7
53	The business problem is the Framework includes dynamic information (e.g., contact names, submission timeline dates) that is managed under configuration control and when changed, forces development of unnecessary change requests and potentially new releases. The desired outcome is to provide the ability to implement such changes without triggering use of formal release and configuration management processes. Instead, the dynamic information will be communicated in a manner that does not involve configuration control.	2.2	Department of Information Resources	Rose Wheeler	Framework Other - Web, Framework Guidance	Moderate						11/6/2009	Approve		2.3, 2.6
52	The business problem is the inability to quickly identify specific tools within the Framework toolset, especially for an individual (e.g., program staff) that is not as familiar with the general order of use or review gates. The desired outcome is to provide the ability to quickly scan and access a list of available tools.	2.2	Texas Department of State Health Services	William Hanna	Framework Other - Web, Framework Guidance	Minor	7/14/2009			Defer Until	1/29/2010		Withdrew On	1/20/2010	
51	The business problem is the Contract Advisory Team (CAT) contact designated by the Comptroller of Public Accounts (CPA) changed. Mark KasPar replaced Woody Fluharty. The desired outcome is to ensure Framework guidance and tools reflect the most accurate and up-to-date information possible.	2.1	Comptroller of Public Accounts	Mark KasPar	Framework Other - Web, Framework Guidance	Minor						6/24/2009	Approve		2.2
50	No business problem exists. The desired outcome is to revise Framework guidance to reflect legislative changes adopted in the 81st Legislature Regular Session (2009). The following legislative changes must be incorporated based on an effective date of September 1, 2009: a) modification to the "project" definition (House Bill 1705), b) modification to the "project management practices" definition (House Bill 1705).	2.1	Department of Information Resources	Rose Wheeler	Framework Other - Web, Framework Guidance	Minor						6/22/2009	Approve		2.2

Texas Project Delivery Framework Master Change Request List

As of 7/05/2012

49	The business problem is Framework guidance for when submission of the Monitoring Report ends is unclear, and is also inconsistent with the Quality Assurance Team (QAT) guidance. The Monitoring Report Instructions state a Monitoring Report is no longer required or requested by the QAT once the project is closed; however, the specific conditions for when to stop using the Monitoring Report are not addressed. In addition, the QAT Policy and Procedures Manual does not specifically state when submission of the Monitoring Report ends. The desired outcome is to make the Framework guidance clear and also consistent with the QAT guidance.	2.1	Department of State Health Services	Suzanne Carter	Monitoring Report	Minor						5/11/2009	Approve		2.2, 2.6
48	Do not require Agency Head approval signature on the Review Gate Approval documents. Let each agency decide who should sign this document and be more in alignment with each agency's IT project governance standards. This would better match other signature requirements for documents that do not go outside the agency such as the Project Charter, Acceptance to Deploy, and Project Closeout Report.	2.1	Texas Department of Transportation	Karen VanHooser	Review Gate Approval		2/11/2009	Moderate	Medium	Recommend with modifications		3/3/2009	Approve		2.2
47	The business problem is the inability to copy the financial information from the Business Case Workbook into the Business Case Word document because the Workbook is password protected. All of the values must be re-keyed. The instructions require copying specific information from the Business Case Workbook into the Business Case. The protection on the Workbook does not allow for selection of the information to be copied and therefore, data must be re-keyed which is inefficient. The desired outcome is to provide the ability to copy the financial information.	2.0	Office of the Attorney General	Scott McKenzie	Business Case	Moderate	2/11/2009	Moderate	High	Recommend		2/25/2009	Approve		2.2
46	Correct inconsistencies in use of the Contract Amendment and Change Order Approval deliverable in Framework and QAT guidance. QAT Policy and Procedures Manual states: Agencies use internal methods to obtain agency head approval of amendment or change orders that change the monetary value of the contract by more than 10% of initial contract amount or significantly changes contract completion date, including use of the Contract Amendment and Change Order Approval tool. Agencies notify QAT when a 10% or greater change occurs via letter and submission of the Contract Amendment and Change Order Approval. Neither the Quick Reference, or Contract Amendment and Change Order Approval Instructions, convey submission of a Contract Amendment and Change Order Approval deliverable to QAT. Contract Amendment and Change Order Approval Instructions do not convey QAT approval of a contract amendment based on information in a submitted Contract Amendment and Change Order Approval deliverable	2.0	Leg Budget Board for the Quality Assurance Team	Richard Corbell	Framework Guidance, Framework Other - Web, Contract Amendment and Change Order Approval	Minor	7/24/2008	Major	High	Recommend		9/15/2008	Approve		2.1, 2.2
45	The business problem is lack of clarity in a section of the Post-Implementation Review of Business Outcomes Instructions. Section 3.1.2 instructs to practitioners to describe and summarize what appears to be two separate topics. The desired outcome is to clarify the instructions and provide a consistent approach.	2.0	Department of Information Resources	Rose Wheeler	Post-Implementation Review of Business Outcomes	Minor	7/24/2008	Moderate	Medium	Recommend with modifications		9/15/2008	Approve		2.1
44	The business problem is the Framework guidance does not clearly convey information regarding applicability of the Framework to major information resources projects, regardless of the funding source. The desired outcome is to ensure Framework guidance provides clear information.	1.9	Department of Information Resources	Rose Wheeler	Framework Guidance, Framework Other - Web	Minor						5/15/2008	Approve		2
43	The business problem is an inaccurate statement made within the Solicitation and Contracting Review Gate web page located at: http://www.dir.state.tx.us/pubs/framework/gate3/index.htm which states that "A decision regarding procurement is made during the Project Planning Review Gate." Proposal - This statement should be removed.	1.7	Texas Health and Human Services Commission	Don McGrew	Framework Other - Web	Major	7/24/2008	Minor	Low	Recommend		9/15/2008	Approve		2.1
42	The business problem is the instructions for section 1.1 of the Configuration Management Plan are unclear. The desired outcome is to clarify expected content of the section in order to provide guidance and examples to those who use the tool. The section is intended to request a graphical depiction of the how the project relates to the organizations that are responsible for configuration management for the project.	1.8	Department of Information Resources	Roslyn Hotard	Configuration Management Plan	Minor	1/30/2008	Minor	Low	Recommend					2
41	The business problem is Framework guidance and tools convey information that has become outdated due to recent external changes (e.g., retirement of the Internal Quality Assurance Guidelines, changes to the Information Resource Strategic Plan). The desired outcome is to ensure Framework guidance and tools reflect the most accurate and up-to-date information possible. DIR will complete an analysis to incorporate specific revisions based on recent changes.	1.8	Department of Information Resources	Rose Wheeler	Risk Management Plan, Framework Guidance, Business Case	Moderate						11/6/2007	Approve		1.9, 2.1
40	The business problem is sometimes agencies promote use of the Framework as filling in documents versus use of Framework tools to create project deliverables. These deliverables are used throughout the entire project life cycle, and not just for documenting project information as a one-time task. The desired outcome is to revise Framework guidance and tools as necessary to replace references to document with references to tool or deliverable.	1.7	Department of Information Resources	Rose Wheeler	Framework Guidance, Project Plan	Minor						9/21/2007	Approve		1.8, 2.0

Texas Project Delivery Framework Master Change Request List

As of 7/05/2012

39	No business problem exists. The desired Outcome is to revise Framework guidance to Reflect the legislative change referenced in House Bill 3560 relating to transferring to the Comptroller of Public Accounts (CPA) the duties of TBPC that do not primarily concern state facilities. The agency name "TBPC" must be changed to "CPA."	1.7	Department of Information Resources	Roslyn Hotard	Risk Management Plan, Acceptance to Deploy, Acquisition Plan, Business Case, Communication Management Plan, Framework Guidance, Contract Amendment and Change Order Approval, Review Gate Approval, Performance Management Plan, Post-Implementation Review of Business Outcomes, Statewide Impact Analysis, Project Closeout, Framework Other - Web, Project Charter, Project Plan, Configuration Management Plan	Major						9/14/2007	Approve		1.8, 2.0
38	The business goals for this change request are: 1. Easy for project manager to understand and navigate document and enter data. 2. Manageable to scale responses within sections. 3. Enable various options for sub-plans (e.g., communication, configuration). 4. Require few updates during project execution (i.e., low maintenance). 5. Support industry standards. 6. Follow uniform organization of information. 7. Eliminate redundancy. The revised template allows the user to reference a stand-alone document in some sections to allow more detail/emphasis on the information or to use an organization's standard template. See recommended changes tracked within the attached Project Plan.	1.7	TWC, HHSC, CPA	Leslie Howes, Don McGrew, Cindy Gray	Supplemental Tool - Project Plan, Framework Other - Web, Risk Management Plan, Configuration Management Plan, Project Plan, Communication Management Plan, Performance Management Plan, Framework Guidance	Major	1/30/2008	Major	High	Recommend		3/20/2008	Approve		2.0, 2.1,2.2,2.3
37	No business problem exists. The desired outcome is to revise Framework guidance to reflect legislative changes adopted in the 80th Legislature Regular Session (2007). The following legislative changes must be incorporated based on an effective date of September 1, 2007: a) Business Case and Statewide Impact Analysis submission to QAT instead of LBB, SAO, and DIR. b) Framework applicability to major contracts in addition to major information resources projects. c) Project Plan submission to QAT and DIR instead of to QAT and TBPC (House Bill 3560)	1.6	Department of Information Resources	Rose Wheeler	Statewide Impact Analysis, Business Case, Acquisition Plan, Framework Other - Web, Project Plan, Framework Guidance	Moderate	7/11/2007	Moderate	High	Recommend		7/17/2007	Approve		1.7, 2.0, 2.4
36	The business problems are the repetitive nature of Business Case content that is already described in other Framework guidance and tools, and the lack of clarity in key components of business case analysis. The desired outcome is to streamline and clarify guidance for the Business Case when possible. DIR will complete an analysis to incorporate specific revisions based on feedback provided in focus groups, correspondence, briefings, and other forums. In addition, specific revisions will be associated with future training objectives.	1.6	Department of Information Resources	Rose Wheeler	Business Case	Moderate	7/11/2007	Moderate	Medium	Recommend		7/17/2007	Approve		1.9
35	Combine the 4 sub-plans into the main project plan, combine redundant sections such as glossaries, and landscape selected tables in appendices to allow all information about a single item on one row.	1.6	Texas Workforce Commission	Leslie Howes	Project Plan, Performance Management Plan, Communication Management Plan, Configuration Management Plan, Risk Management Plan, Framework Other - Web, Framework Guidance	Major	7/11/2007			Reject		7/17/2007	Reject		NA

**Texas Project Delivery Framework
Master Change Request List**

As of 7/05/2012

34	Beginning of each template: In item 4, provide direction about whether the abbreviated instructions are to be deleted. In item 5, it says to update page numbers only; however, the desired method still leaves incorrect page numbers	1.6	Texas Workforce Commission	Leslie Howes	Framework Extension - SDLC, Communication Management Plan, Configuration Management Plan, Performance Management Plan, Supplemental Tool - Project Plan, Contract Amendment and Change Order Approval, Risk Management Plan, Acceptance to Deploy, Monitoring Report, Supplemental Tool - Risk Management Plan, Framework Guidance, Project Plan, Acquisition Plan, Review Gate Approval, Business Case, Framework Other - Web, Statewide Impact Analysis, Project Closeout, Project Charter, Post-Implementation Review of Business Outcomes	Minor	7/11/2007	Minor	Low	Recommend		7/17/2007	Approve		1.7
33	Recommend making references to law and policy that supports the Framework a hyperlink for easy reference.	1.6	Texas Workforce Commission	Leslie Howes	Framework Guidance, Framework Other - Web	Minor	7/11/2007	Minor	Low	Recommend		7/17/2007	Approve		1.7
32	Business Case Workbook, Cost Analysis and Cost-Benefit Summary sheets 1. Separate one-time from recurring costs in the detail and the summary. 2. Provide an additional column for the one-time costs to include the corresponding amount for each item that is included in the project's Information Technology Detail (ITD) for the LAR and BOP.	1.6	Texas Workforce Commission	Leslie Howes	Business Case, Framework Guidance	Major	7/11/2007	Moderate	High	Recommend		7/17/2007	Approve		1.9
31	Risk Management Checklist asks if funding is set aside, suggesting its part of Management Reserve. Costs allocated to risk management are contingency reserves and part of the budget.	1.6	Texas Health and Human Services Commission	John C. Smith	Supplemental Tool - Risk Management Plan	Moderate	7/11/2007	Moderate	High	Recommend		7/17/2007	Approve		1.9
30	Supplemental Documents - consider adding a sign-off page to the supplemental documents.	1.6	Texas Health and Human Services Commission	John C. Smith	Supplemental Tool - Project Plan, Supplemental Tool - Risk Management Plan	Moderate	7/11/2007			Reject		7/17/2007	Reject		NA
29	1.3 Performance Objectives - Recommend swapping the order of 1.3 Performance Objectives with 1.2 Roles Definition. Completing the roles definition needs the performance objectives identified first for inclusion into the matrix.	1.6	Texas Health and Human Services Commission	John C. Smith	Performance Management Plan	Minor	7/11/2007	Moderate	Low	Recommend		7/17/2007	Approve		1.7
28	3.2.1 Risk Items and 3.2.2 Risk Status - An explanation of the available tools should be in a separate paragraph.	1.6	Texas Health and Human Services Commission	John C. Smith	Risk Management Plan	Moderate	7/11/2007	Moderate	High	Recommend		7/17/2007	Approve		1.9